

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,875		06/20/2003	Blaine Stackhouse	200207083-1	6673	
22879	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
HEWLET	T PAC	KARD COMPAN	NGUYEN, DANG T			
		3404 E. HARMON		ADTIBUT	DARED MINADED	
INTELLE	CTUAL	PROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2824		
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/600,875	STACKHOUSE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dang T. Nguyen	2824		

Advisory Action	10/600, 875	STACKHOUSE ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Dang T. Nguyen	2824					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED on 4/4/06 & 5/15/06 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	IRST REPLY WAS FILED					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered I	because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	PTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amei	ndment				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-20,26 and 27</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>9 and 10</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,11 and 21-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nation of Ammont will m					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	ivit or other evidence i	is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
		richard elms Ory patent examin	ver ·				
	SISTOS TECHNI	OLOGY CENTER 2800)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 03/31/06 have been fully considered but htey are not persuasive, because, with regarding claims 1, 6, 17 and 21, Fig. 10C of Patel et al. taught the element "1050" can be construed as a bias generator because it generates a voltage bias. Examiner maintains position in the Final Office Action filed on 2/21/06.

Continuation of 13. Other: This Supplements the Advisory Action sent on 4/4/06 14. Interview Summary.

GINDL